

Rowner Surgery

Inspection report

143 Rowner Lane Gosport Hampshire PO13 9SP Tel: 02392 513143 www.rownerhealthcentre.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 11/09/2018 Date of publication: N/A (DRAFT)

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Overall summary

This practice is rated as Good overall. (Previous rating from August 2017 - Requires Improvement)

The key questions at this inspection are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Rowner Surgery on 11 September 2018. This inspection was carried out to check if the practice had made the improvements they told us they would make to address a breach of regulation identified when last inspected in August 2017.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.

- Recall systems had been reviewed and streamlined to improve the uptake of health reviews for patients with long term conditions.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they were able to access care when they needed it.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.
- The practice was active in forward planning to maintain delivery of high quality care.

The areas where the provider **should** make improvements

- Monitor and evaluate changes in service delivery introduced on the day of inspection. Ensure the changes are effective and sustainable.
- Review the follow up systems used to encourage uptake of national cancer screening programmes.
- Continue to review the means of encouraging patients with carer responsibilities to register as a carer to seek the support the practice has on offer.

Professor Steve Field CBF FRCP FFPH FRCGP Chief Inspector of General Practice

Please refer to the detailed report and the evidence tables for further information.

Population group ratings

Older people	Good	
People with long-term conditions	Good	
Families, children and young people	Good	
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Requires improvement	
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Good	
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Good	

Our inspection team

The inspection was led by a CQC lead inspector. The team included a CQC GP advisor and a CQC Nurse advisor on induction in a shadowing role.

Background to Rowner Surgery

Rowner Surgery is located within the Rowner Health Centre which is a purpose built premises, that also houses a dental practice and provides a base for the community staff including health visitors. The practice is based on two floors with consulting rooms on each level with accessibility for all patients as there is a lift for access to the first floor. There is also a large car park surrounding the building.

The practice currently has approximately 7,100 patients registered and is open from 8am until 6.30pm Monday to Friday. There are currently two male GP partners working at the practice. In addition there is a regular locum male GP on a Tuesday and a regular locum female GP who assists with Family planning services. This in total is the equivalent of 2.25 full time GPs. There are also two advanced nurse practitioners who are in the practice every day totalling 46 hours per week, one part time practice nurse (18 hours) and a visiting local diabetes nurse. In addition the practice has a practice manager, an operational practice manager, a dedicated data quality lead, a medical secretary, a reception co-ordinator and seven reception staff.

Nationally reported data shows the practice has a higher than average number of registered patients aged under

18 and lower than average registered population over 65 years old. The data also shows income deprivation in the area to be at four on a scale of one to 10 (one being the highest level of deprivation and 10 the lowest).

The practice offers a range of treatments, including minor surgery in collaboration with another practice, as well as e-consultations and telephone consultations. The practice also offers extended hours opening until 8.15pm on a Thursday evening. In addition registered patients can make appointments with the local GPEA (GP Extended Access) clinic for any weekday evening and Saturday mornings.

The practice has a general medical services contract to provide healthcare and is contracted by the Fareham and Gosport, South East Hants Clinical Commissioning Group.

We inspected the only location: Rowner Health Centre, 143 Rowner Lane, Gosport, Hampshire, PO13 9SP.

The practice is registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) to provide the regulated activities of: Treatment of disease, disorder and injury, Diagnostic and screening procedures, Family planning, Maternity and midwifery and Surgical procedures.



Are services safe?

We rated the practice as good for providing safe services.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff. Safeguarding policies and protocols were kept under regular review and were readily available to staff to refer to. The lead nurse for safeguarding ensured that school nurses were represented at the practice safeguarding review meetings.
- Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis.
- There was a system to manage infection prevention and control. The practice had identified that cleaning standards required improving and had taken action, by contacting the cleaning contractors, to ensure their concerns were addressed.
- The practice had arrangements to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe and in good working order.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.

- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.
- When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to staff
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases and equipment, minimised risks.
- The practice had not reviewed the medicines they held to deal with a medical emergency. This was discussed during the inspection and we saw that the practice ordered the additional medicines for delivery on the day following inspection.
- Staff prescribed and administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing and taken action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
- There were effective protocols for verifying the identity of patients during remote or online consultations.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.

Track record on safety

The practice had a good track record on safety.



Are services safe?

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a range of sources.

Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took
- action to improve safety in the practice. However, the recording of the learning from incidents would not always have been clear for staff who were not involved in the review. The practice recognised this and changed their policy for disseminating learning from events within a day of inspection.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. The practice took part in the local reporting system that enabled the clinical commissioning group to monitor and share learning from significant events.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



Are services effective?

We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing effective services overall except for the working age people group which we rated requires improvement. The practice follow up systems were not proving effective in increasing uptake of national cancer screening programmes.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

Older people:

- The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.

- Adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease were offered statins for secondary prevention. People with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.
- The practice was able to demonstrate how it identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension)
- The practice's performance on the last published quality indicators for long term conditions was below local and national averages in 2016/17. However, the data the practice showed us for 2017/18 showed a 7% improvement to bring the practice in line with the 2016/17 national average of 97% achievement. The practice had followed a work programme of improving recall systems in 2017/18 to achieve this improvement.

Families, children and young people:

- The most up to date data available showed childhood immunisation uptake rates were in line with the target percentage of 90% or above.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice was aware that the uptake of cancer screening programmes for their patients was below average and had systems in place to follow up patients that failed to attend for these screening opportunities. However, these systems were not always working effectively because screening rates remained below average.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:



Are services effective?

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- The practice had recently introduced a system to recall people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia.
 When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability. We noted that in the last year 17 out of 19 patients in this group had attended for their physical health check.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

- The practice QOF improvement programme in 2017/18 had brought their performance in line with national averages.
- Whilst exception reporting (removal of patients in line with national guidance from QOF indicators) had increased to 5% this remained below the national average of 6%.
- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.

- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity and had an audit protocol that ensured outcomes of audits were shared with the wider practice team. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.
- The practice had a process for reviewing their performance. For example, they realised that they could improve recall for patients requiring follow up health checks and implemented this. It resulted in an increase in attendance. The practice also applied for and obtained improvement funds to improve their management capacity and capability to continue to provide high quality healthcare for their patients into the future.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

- Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people requiring contraceptive reviews.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.
- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. There
 was an induction programme for new staff. This
 included one to one meetings, appraisals, coaching and
 mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

 We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.



Are services effective?

- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when discussing care delivery for people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home residents. They shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who have relocated into the local area.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies. The care plans reviewed by the CQC GP advisor were comprehensive but did not always follow a standard design layout. Non practice staff, such as district nurses, would have the information they required to discharge their role in delivering the care plans. However, they were required to look in greater depth to find this information as it was not in a consistent format.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. Nursing staff demonstrated a very clear understanding of the requirements relating to consent of younger patients aged under 16.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



Are services caring?

We rated the practice as good for caring.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was consistently positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The practices GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to kindness, respect and compassion.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

- Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy

- services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment. Patients we spoke with confirmed that they felt encouraged to obtain all the information they needed about their treatment.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported them. However, national data showed the practice had a younger patient profile than average. This had influenced the number of registered carers. The practice system for encouraging carers to register their caring responsibilities had led to only just over 1% of the registered patient list being identified as carers.
- The practices GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to involvement in decisions about care and treatment.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs. The GP and district nurses also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice due to limited local public transport availability.
- Patients who moved to live in local residential and care homes could remain registered with the practice to continue to receive continuity of care.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. The practice had changed their system to enable patients with multiple conditions to have their review at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

 The practice worked with another local practice to access the services of a diabetes specialist nurse to offer two clinics a week. In addition one of the Advanced Nurse Practitioners also held a relevant qualification to undertake reviews of patients with diabetes to enable patients needing a review to do so on any week day. The practice had taken these measures to improve their performance in delivering health and medicine reviews for this group of patients.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a patient under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours and Saturday appointments.
- Telephone appointments were available for those patients who were unable to attend the practice during opening hours.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- Patients with a learning disability were offered annual physical health checks.
- Carers were offered annual health checks and a seasonal flu immunisation.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

 Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

 The practice had commenced offering patients with long term mental health problems and annual physical health review along with a review of their mental health problem.

Timely access to care and treatment

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.
- The practices GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to access to care and treatment. However, for the question

that asked how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone the practice result was 91% compared to the local average of 57% and national average of 70%.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



Are services well-led?

We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable.
 They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice. For example, the GP partners were booked to attend clinical leadership courses. The practice manager had enrolled in a management development programme.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social care priorities across the locality. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.

- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations.
- All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity.
 Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control
- Practice leaders had established policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was a process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety. However, monitoring of risk had not identified that some medicines that might be needed to treat a medical emergency were not kept in stock.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Practice leaders had oversight of safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.



Are services well-led?

- The practice quality improvement activities had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff to deal with situations that may affect the delivery of services from the practice.
- The practice considered and understood the impact on the quality of care of service changes or developments.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. For example, practice leaders had identified the need to improve the resilience of the practice in future years. A resilience plan was developed and funded by the local clinical commissioning group (CCG) from development funds. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account. For example, the practice performance in delivering reviews for patients with long term conditions had improved. Clinicians had acted on relevant information to change the recall system which helped in the improved performance.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.

 There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. There was an active patient participation group.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- The practice showed a commitment to innovate by appointing a patient champion to support patients with complex needs or those that needed additional support to access health and social care.
- The practice demonstrated a commitment to ensure staff understood CCG procedures and policies by reviewing each new protocol and providing concise summaries for staff to enable them to understand their roles in delivering care in accordance with such protocols.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.